

THE PIPA/KNOWLEDGE NETWORKS POLL

THE AMERICAN PUBLIC ON INTERNATIONAL ISSUES

--Media Release--

Public Impatient With Iraq Reconstruction: 7 in 10 Now Say UN Should Take Lead

**Still Committed to Creating Democracy in Iraq
Large Majorities Willing to Accept Unfriendly or Fundamentalist Government**

70% Now Say War Has Not Reduced Threat of Terrorism

Three-quarters See Iraq as Less Important than Pursuing Bin Laden, al Qaeda

For Release: Immediate

Contact: Steven Kull, 202-232-7500

College Park, MD: A new PIPA-Knowledge Networks poll finds that the public is impatient with the process of Iraq reconstruction. Sixty percent said that the process of creating an Iraqi government is going too slowly (about right 31%, too fast 5%). Even the more sensitive process of “developing an Iraqi police force and army to take over the job of maintaining security in Iraq” got an identical response-- seen as going too slowly by 60%, and only 5% said it was going too fast (about right 31%).

Steven Kull, director of PIPA, commented, “Impatience with the process of Iraq reconstruction seems to be creating increasingly robust support for putting the operation under the UN.” A very strong 71% said the UN should “take the lead to work with Iraqis to write a new constitution and build a new democratic government”--up from 64% in June and 50% in April. Just 26%, in the current poll, say the US should take the lead. When presented a summary of proposals offered by a number of governments to put the reconstruction effort under the UN, an overwhelming 77% said the US should agree to such a plan. The question asked, “Suppose some other countries would be willing to contribute more money and troops if the effort to reconstruct Iraq and build a new government were put under the control of the UN, while the US would continue to have command over the military forces there.”

The majority is still committed to building democracy in Iraq. Only 31% want the US to commit to withdraw from Iraq by a pre-established date, while 66% think the US should commit itself to first making sure Iraq is a stable democracy. Asked when the US should remove its troops from Iraq, 61% insisted on not withdrawing until a government has been elected, with 54% also insisting that laws protecting human rights first be in place. Twenty-six percent said the US should withdraw “immediately,” and only 9% said “when a government is established that is

-more-

friendly to the US, but there have not been elections.” Still, support for staying the course is down 14 points from April when, in response to a similar question 78% insisted on not withdrawing until a government had been elected (72% not until laws protecting human rights are in place).

Overwhelming majorities say they are ready to accept the election of an unfriendly or fundamentalist government. Seventy-eight percent agreed that “At some point the US will need to let the Iraqi people decide who should lead their government, even if they elect a leader who is unfriendly to the US,” and 71% said the same, “even if they elect an Islamic religious leader who wants to institute Islamic law.”

However, there is little support for a broader push for democracy across the Middle East, as President Bush recently proposed in a recent major address. Only 41% supported the idea of putting “greater pressure on countries in the Middle East, like Saudi Arabia and Egypt, to become more democratic.” Only 34% agreed with the more forceful idea that “The US has the right and even the responsibility to overthrow dictatorships and help their people build a democracy,” while 59% disagreed.

There is also little optimism that US efforts in Iraq are likely to improve the prospects for democracy in the region. Asked how what the US is doing in Iraq “will affect the likelihood that other countries in the Middle East will become more democratic,” only 43% said that it would increase the likelihood of this, while 46% said it would have no effect and 9% said that it would decrease it.

A growing majority says that the war with Iraq has not reduced the threat of terrorism or helped stabilize the Middle East. An overwhelming 70% now disagree that “The threat of terrorism has been significantly reduced by the war”—up from 47% when Gallup International asked this question in April. Fifty-six percent disagreed that “The war will result in greater peace and stability in the Middle East”—up from 32% in April.

The public overwhelmingly believes that pursuing Bin Laden and al Qaeda should be the central front in the war on terrorism, rather than dealing with the situation in Iraq, as some administration figures have claimed. Asked, “What do you think is the more important thing for the US to do as part of the war on terrorism?” just 21% said “to capture Saddam Hussein and establish a democracy in Iraq,” while 75% said “to capture Osama Bin Laden and break up the al-Qaeda terrorist group.”

For the first time since June, there has been a marked drop in the percentage incorrectly believing that the US has “found clear evidence in Iraq that Saddam Hussein was working closely with the al Qaeda terrorist organization.” While the percentage having this misperception has averaged 50% in four different polls since June, in the current poll the percentage dropped to 43%. When asked about the current situation, though, an overwhelming 81% does think that al-Qaeda has been involved in the recent attacks on US troops in Iraq.

-more-

The PIPA/KN poll was conducted with a nationwide sample of 712 respondents, November 21-30. The margin of error was plus or minus 3.7%.

The poll was fielded by Knowledge Networks using its nationwide panel, which is randomly selected from the entire adult population and subsequently provided internet access. For more information about this methodology, go to www.knowledgenetworks.com/ganp.

Funding for this research was provided by the Rockefeller Brothers Fund and the Ford Foundation.